UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,151	08/28/2001	Eric Chapoulaud	ORM-156CI	4585
	7590 02/24/200 ON & EVANS, LLP	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW TOWER			EIDE, HEIDI MARIE	
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/941,151	CHAPOULAUD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HEIDI M. EIDE	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 00 D	ecember 2008				
· · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	· —					
3/1	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayre, 1999 C.B. 11, 40	. O. O. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>120-132</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>120-132</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	•	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 9, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 120-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al. (5,975,893) in view of Lehmann et al. (6,575,751). Chishti et al. discloses a method of providing a custom orthodontic appliance for repositioning teeth of a patient comprising providing for display on a computer screen, with interaction by an operator (user), data of images of the teeth of the patient in suggested post-treatment tooth positions and orientations (final digital data set) based on three-dimensional information of the shapes of the teeth (column 5 line 37), receiving feedback information from a person (treating professional), other than the operator, and providing a custom orthodontic appliance configured to reposition teeth based on the suggested post-

Art Unit: 3732

treatment tooth positions and orientations. It is noted that the interactive step is written in the past tense, and interactivity can be interpreted as with the computer system.

Furthermore, there is suggestion as to various times when "users" can provide feedback as in information to modify (change) or accept (not change) tooth positions and orientations in obtaining post-treatment tooth positions and orientations (columns 4-7, 9-14). However, Lehmann et al. is used to teach a situation in which the person, treating professional, or orthodontic practitioner (dentist) does not have access to the computerized site and uses the services of another such as that of the operator, user, or laboratory, and interactivity is present in the method of providing a custom dental appliance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the person who has interactively viewed a display of the images as understood as in Lehmann et al. in order to enable the person to save time and effort in communicating with the laboratory operator in view of Lehmann et al. As changes are incorporated, it is redisplayed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 9, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that a supplemental declaration will be submitted to overcome the rejection; however, no such declaration has been received.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151

Art Unit: 3732

FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

3. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEIDI M. EIDE whose telephone number is (571)270-3081. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heidi Eide Examiner Art Unit 3732 /John J Wilson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

/Heidi M Eide/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

2/18/2009